

as an electrode. Rather, conductive layer 216 remains a single layer, albeit one having an opening therethrough, and accordingly, while this conductive layer can arguably be said to correspond to a single electrode, it is respectfully submitted that this layer cannot reasonably be said to correspond to plural electrodes.

Furthermore, Figures 2 and 3 are cross sectional views of the circuit package shown in Figure 1. Accordingly, if waveguide structure 234 cut completely across conductive layer 216 so as to divide this layer into plural electrodes, then Figure 2 would not show conductive layer 216 to be continuous from the left hand side to the right hand side of this figure.


Thus, because Hung et al. does not teach or suggest the electrodes as required by claim 1, claim 1 is not anticipated by Hung et al. Karnezos does not resolve this deficiency of Hung et al., and accordingly, claim 1 is also not obvious over a combination of Hung et al. and Karnezos. Accordingly, claims 1-19 are allowable.

In view of the above remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance, and an early Notice of Allowance is earnestly solicited.

If after reviewing these remarks, the Examiner believes that any issues remain which must be resolved before the application can be passed to issue, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's undersigned representative by telephone to resolve such issues.

Respectfully submitted,

Tomoji HAMADA

By: 
Joseph M. Gorski
Registration No. 46,500
Attorney for Applicant

JMG/edg
Washington, D.C. 20006-1021
Telephone (202) 721-8200
Facsimile (202) 721-8250
August 25, 2005